



，

5

，

6

”

”

7

”

8

---

5

6 . .21, .1, .11

7 . .17, .2, .3, .7 .9

8 :,,

(

);

(

),

;

， .4 2001 ., .17;

，2003 ., .34.







1	2	3	4	5
1	-	3.3.	1. ( ) 1	10
		-	( ) <sup>17</sup>	
		-	( ) 100	
		,	( )	
		-	18 1	
		-	4. . . 100	
		,	( )	
		-	5. 100 ( )	
		-	6. ( )	
			( ) 100	
			7. ( )	
			5 .	
			8. 100	
			( )	

16

4

www.neaa.government.bg/ -

17

18

: http://

2		1.3. , - , - - -	1. 1 ( ), , <sup>19</sup> 2. 100 ( ) 3. . . . 1 4. 100 ( ) 5. 5 .	11
3	20	2.1. - 2.2. -	2.1.1. . 2.1.2. . 2.2.1. .	-

19 ” ” :1. -  
 ” ”( ).2. -  
 , .3. -  
 - ( . . ) , -  
 , . 1983 . -  
 20 ( „2. -  
 3.3., 3.3.1. 3.3.2. -  
 , . 1.3., 1.3.1. 1.3.2. ).

			2.2.2.	
4		2.4.	2.4.1.	-
		-	1.	-
			1 ( )	
			2.	
			100 ( )	
			3.	
			1	
			4.	
			2.4.2.	
			1.	100
			( )	
			2.	
			3.	
			100 ( )	
			4.	-
			100 ( )	

) : , -  
 ) , ; -  
 ) , 21 -  
 ) : , -  
 ( ) , -  
 / -









”

”

1	2				
		3	4	5	6
1		12	12	12	12
2		5	7	5	7
3		60	84	60	84
4		18	4,5	16	4
5		0,30	0,05	0,27	0,05

3.

:,,

”

”

-

,

”( . . 3).

3

”

”

”

”28

,

1	2							9
		3	4	5	6	7	8	
1	1-	3600	400	4000	800	200	1000	<b>5000</b>
2	2-	3420	380	3800	720	180	900	<b>4700</b>
3		3510	390	3900	760	190	950	<b>4850</b>
4	29	3240	360	3600	720	180	900	<b>4500</b>
5	30	3240	120		720	60		<b>4140</b>

28

2,3,4 5 ,

29

10 % -

30

6	31	0,30	0,05		0,27	0,05		
7	,	1053	21		203	9		<b>1286</b>

4. ( . . 4). -  
4

1	2	3	4
1			7000
2			1600
3			150
4	,		18
5			150
6			120
7			450
8		5 .	3500
9			70000
10			270

5. ) 1 :  
 ) 2 ” ”;  
 ” ( . . 5).  
 2  
 ( ) , , . .). ( , -  
 , , .

		1	2
1	2	3	4
1	1	1,69	5,44
2	100	38,65	124,42
3	1	8,33	8,33
4	100	3,62	11,66
5	100	2,90	9,33
6	100	10,87	34,99
7	5	5,00	5,00
8	100	6,52	21,00

- 5 :
1. -
2. , " " : -
- ) ; -
- ) -
1. : -
2. , -

1  
2  
4

- 3. ( ) -  
- ' 25% 25
- 4. 100 . -
- 5. " " " ' " . -  
-  
-  
33 , -

**ON THE ISSUE OF THE NATURE AND EVALUATION  
OF THE EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES DURING  
THE ACCREDITATION OF SCHOOLS OF HIGHER EDUCATION**

**Assoc. Prof. Dr Ivan Zhelev**

**Abstract**

The provision of high quality education in higher schools is connected with the need for skilled and habilitated academic staff and the existence of modern equipment and facilities. In the article there are examined some theoretical and applied aspects of the equipment and facilities of the higher schools and on that basis there is outlined a methodical approach for their evaluation during accreditation.

There are clarified the nature, elements and scope of the term „equipment and facilities“, which includes real property, equipment and other goods and chattels and information base. There are pointed out problems and possible solutions with the evaluation of the equipment and facilities and is justified the use of the indicator “average number of students using the facilities“.

---

<sup>33</sup> , ( ) ( -  
) , 6.00 . . ), 32.6 . . ( 2 12.00 . . ( ( -  
16.3 . . ), .  
. , .2 2005 .

