

. . . -

: , -
 (),
 1. -
 , , -
 , , -
 , (, , -
 , , , -
 , , , -
 , ,)².
 , -
 - ,
 , , -
 , , -
 3 ” - “4 , -
 .
 :
 1. -
 .

¹ . . .3, .1, .3 (). , .28 06.04.2004 ,, ...
² . . .40 13.05.2014 .
³ . . .2, .2, .1,2,4,5 6 . , .44
 21.05.1996 ,,40 13.05.2014 . .3, .2
 . , .44 21.05.1996 ,,109 20.12.2013 .
⁴ . .37, .1 .

2.

1.

5,

1⁻
2⁻

35%

- 35;

35%

- 35;

.56, .1, .11

5

3 - 30, 30% -
 100%) (-
 100 6,
 :
 = $I_1 + I_2 + I_3$ (1)

25 CMP, ($I_1 -$,
)
 :

$$I_1 = [(I_1 / I_1) 0,04 + (I_2 / I_2) 0,04 + (I_3 / I_3) 0,04 + \dots + (I_{25} / I_{25}) 0,04] 35, \quad (2)$$

:
 1' 2' 3' ... 25 ;
 1 - 25 - - -
 (2).

$$x_3 = [(x_1 / x_2) \cdot 0,5 + (x_1 / x_1) \cdot 0,5] \cdot 30, \quad (4)$$

:
 ()
 ;
 (),
 ;
 ()
 ;
 ()
)

1. „ (), , 85%,

2. „ (), 100% , . . 15%,

$$= + , \quad (5)$$

$$= (\dots) / (\dots) \cdot 85, \quad (6)$$

:
 „ “;

$$= (\dots) / (\dots) \cdot 15, \quad (7)$$

:
 „ “

, , (.
 .1).
 “ (. ” .2).
 , - , -
 , .3.

1

” “

()	I						
	I/10	II/8	III/7	IV/6	V/4	VI/2	VII/1
15 ,	10%	10%	15%	20%	25%	25%	30%
50% ,	10%	15%	15%	25%	25%	30%	30%
30 ,							

, () ,
 , :
 = + , (9)

:
 - 70%, 70 ,
 ;
 - - 30 ,

” “

I	5	10
II	4	9
III	3	8
IV	2	6
V	1	4
VI		2

() -
:
= + + , (10)

:
- 20 ; 20%,
- 10 ; 10%,
- , 40%, 40 ;
, , -
,) - (. .3- ,
1.):
, , -
, , , -
, - = 20 .;

⁸ . .20, .4 2 31.07.2003 .
. , .72 15.08.2003 .,...., . . , .98
11.12.2012 .

2. $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{3}{6} - \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{6}$;

3. $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{3}{6} - \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{6}$;

1. $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{3}{6} - \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{6}$;

2. $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{3}{6} - \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{6}$;

3. $\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{3}{6} - \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{6}$;

$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{3}{6} - \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{6}$;

100.

1	2	3
<p>1. , , , , , , , - .</p>	<p>- 20</p>	<p>(.....)</p>
	<p>- 10</p>	<p>(.....)</p>
	<p>- 1</p>	<p>(.....)</p>
<p>2. , , - , , , () , - .</p>	<p>- 10</p>	<p>(.....)</p>
	<p>- 5</p>	<p>(.....)</p>
	<p>- 1</p>	<p>(.....)</p>

， ” “ - “ ”
 “ ” ， -
 ，
 ， -
 ，
 -
 ，
 ， -
 ，

ASSESSMENT OF THE OFFERS FOR PUBLIC PROCUREMENT IN CONSTRUCTION

Chief Assist. Prof. Dr Todor Raychev

Abstract

In the article there is discussed the development and use of indicators for the assessment of offers when there are held open procedures for the award of procurement contracts in construction under the criterion „best-value-for-money offer”.

With a view to reducing the subjective factor in drawing up the methods for assessing the offers, it is suggested that there should be developed and set as compulsory – by entering those in the normative base – special complex methodologies for specific assessment by distinct group, each of which encompasses relatively homogeneous, in terms of content and character, public procurement contracts for construction at the municipal and state level.

Keywords: *methodology, indicators, public procurement, construction.*